

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/934,784	08/22/2001	Gary Gilliam	303.221US5	9291
2	590 05/28/2002			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			EXAMINER KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2002	!

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Λ
Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/934784 Application No. Examiner KARLSEN	Group Art Unit 7
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on th cover sheet beneath the	n correspondence address—
P riod for Reply	7. do	2 VS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 30 MEN	TH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory minimum of the fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mastatute, cause the application to become	nirty (30) days will be considered timely. iling date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	10 (1	•
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12-01	•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excapced accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, prosecutio r 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s) 19-45	is	are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is	are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/	'are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/	are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/	are objected to.
		e subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	re	quirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disap	proved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	:	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d).	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	en received.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee	en received in Application No.	•
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	
in this national stage application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
*Certified copies not received:		·
Attachment(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s). □ Interview	Summary, PTO-413
		,, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

☐ Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

☐ Notice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152

☐ Other._

Application/Control Number: 09/934,784

Art Unit: 2829

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 19 and 20, drawn to an array of memory cells and a test circuit, classified in class 324, subclass 765.

II. Claims 21-45, drawn to an array of memory cells and a substrate voltage regulator, classified in class 365, subclass 189.09.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require all the details of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as by itself for its intended purpose or in a different combination.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/934,784

Art Unit: 2829

A telephone call was made to The Office of the Attorney of Record on May 17, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER